The BASES Expert Statement on Safeguarding in the Sport and Exercise Sciences

Produced on behalf of the British Association of Sport and Exercise Sciences by Dr Emma Kavanagh, Prof Zoe Knowles FBASES, Dr Abbe Brady, Dr Daniel Rhind, Dr Misia Gervis, Dr Andy Miles FBASES and Prof Richard Davison FBASES.

Introduction

Within the context of the sport and exercise sciences, the practice of safeguarding concerns that of a professional obligation to protect all parties (for example the client, athlete and/or self) from abuse or harm. Safeguarding should embrace relational (others), individual (self) and organisational (culture) considerations. The understanding of physical, emotional and social well-being and freedom from discrimination are the focus of typical safeguarding education. In this Expert Statement we provide information in three key areas: 1) a brief summary of the research themes and findings surrounding abuse in sport; 2) a discussion of safeguarding within the sport and exercise profession and finally; 3) recommendations for sport and exercise scientists on matters of safeguarding.

Background and evidence

In this Expert Statement we refer to abuse as an overarching term for what other authors refer to as interpersonal violence (Vertommen et al., 2018), maltreatment (Kerr & Stirling, 2019) or non-accidental violence (Mountjoy et al., 2016). All of these terms are adopted to subsume an array of abusive acts or behaviours against the individual including sexual, physical, psychological abuse, bullying and neglect. Existing research remains skewed towards the sport setting with little known about abuse or safeguarding in the exercise or physical activity environment.

Global patterns of abuse have been identified in academic research (e.g., Hartill & Lang, 2018; Gervis et al, 2016; Vertommen et al., 2018), with findings highlighting the occurrence of abuse in sporting contexts ranging from community through to high performance sport. The risk of experiencing psychological, physical and sexual violence increases as athletes progress up the talent ladder (Mountjoy et al., 2016) and can occur in physical and virtual spaces (Kavanagh et al., 2021a).

Traditionally, there has been a focus on the coach as the perpetrator and the athlete as the victim, yet it is recognised that anyone can become a perpetrator of abuse in sport including coaches, parents/guardians, athletes, scientific and medical staff. Abuse(s) can be experienced directly and/or indirectly through involving and impacting bystanders (Raakman et al., 2010). Further it is important to recognise that abuse(s) can occur at a micro level (individual/relational) to a macro-level, whereby organisations or institutions can intentionally or unintentionally perpetuate, suppress, or ignore violence.

The impact of abuse can be long-term and damaging posing a significant threat to individual and collective physical, social and psychological health. With the acknowledgement that abuse(s) can occur in any environment there is a need for safeguarding initiatives to promote participant and practitioner health and well-being (Rhind & Owusu-Sekyere, 2019).

Safeguarding in the sport and exercise sciences

BASES promotes a culture whereby the importance of safeguarding and welfare within sport and exercise sciences is a priority. We advocate for integrity as a guiding principle in research and practice understanding that as a BASES member or practitioner, we have a duty of care (Kavanagh et al., 2021b) to safeguard those with whom we work. BASES meet the statutory requirements for safeguarding by having a designated and trained Lead Safeguarding Officer, as well as a Safeguarding and Welfare Policy with associated procedures for reporting/investigating issues of safeguarding and a Code of Conduct (BASES, 2021a; 2021b). All practitioners share the responsibility for working in an ethical manner that prioritises safeguarding clients, the self and the integrity of the profession.

In acknowledging the complexity of real world issues it is helpful to consider professional practice as existing on a constantly changing spectrum whereby judgements of practice also exist at the levels of individual (self), relational (others) and organisational (culture). The BASES safeguarding practice barometer (see Figure 1) seeks to capture such dynamic and multilevel considerations.

The analogy of a barometer provides a tool with which to raise the practitioner's self-awareness around climate (disempowermentempowerment), practice (harmful-optimal) and personal state (low well-being to high well-being). Change begins with observing and by asking relevant questions. At the individual level, sport and exercise scientists may judge whether their practice safeguards both themselves and their clients by addressing questions such as: am I working in accordance with the BASES Code of Conduct and am I ethically sound in my practice? At the relational level, sport and exercise scientists may ask: how effective are my working relationships and am I working in a manner to support client(s) well-being? At the organisational level, the questions may include, have I considered the climate or the broader culture in which I work? Have I noticed or experienced any change in circumstances or conflict between what I have been asked to do and the BASES Code of Conduct, and if so, to what extent did my response uphold the integrity of my profession?

Use of the barometer for reflection and to monitor safeguarding will elicit a broader perspective for review that acknowledges the importance of understanding what well-being looks like and the complexity of potential safeguarding issues. Ultimately, the aim is for all three levels of consideration to be aligned to support empowered clients with high well-being. Sport and exercise scientists need to show openness to examining factors that support or impede practice, and the conviction and courage to challenge activities that detract from client well-being and neglect safeguarding imperatives.

Recommendations and action

- Sport and exercise scientists should:
- Understand and apply the key principles of safeguarding and ensure that they can provide evidence that the appropriate action has been taken to protect themselves, the people they work with and their profession.
- Attend the BASES Safeguarding Welfare in Sport and Exercise Sciences workshop to ensure they are familiar with current legislative, organisational and professional requirements and are aware of relevant reporting and/or referral requirements.
- Keep up-to-date on matters of safeguarding by regularly checking for updates in the BASES Safeguarding and Welfare Policy and Code of Conduct and adjust their own practice accordingly.
- · Identify and know in detail the appropriate safeguarding guidelines and reporting procedures for the context(s) they operate within whether in the UK or abroad (for example National Governing Body policies and procedures).
- Stay up-to-date with guidance notes provided by key bodies,









- for example the Child Protection in Sport Unit (CPSU), the ann craft trust and the International Olympic Committee (IOC).
- Ensure they meet the necessary clearance and vetting requirements for the context(s) in which they operate (e.g. Disclosure Barring Services in England and Wales; Disclosure Scotland; Access Northern Ireland).
- · Reflect on practice adopting safeguarding as a focus. The aspiration is to move beyond a 'do no harm' ethos; towards safe, fair, positive, inclusive, and enriching higher welfare environments.




Figure 1. The BASES safeguarding practice barometer



Dr Emma Kavanagh

Emma is a Senior Lecturer in sport psychology and coaching sciences at Bournemouth University. She is a BASES accredited sport and exercise scientist involved in research in athlete well-being and the Chair of the BASES Integrity Advisory Group.



Dr Zoe Knowles FBASES

Zoe is a professor of Engagement and Learning at Liverpool John Moores University. She is a HCPC Registered Practitioner Psychologist and currently BASES Chair.



Dr Abbe Brady

Abbe is a Health and Care Professions Council registered sport and exercise psychologist and Head of Department of Psychology and Pedagogic Science at St Mary's University with research interests in athlete/coach well-being and performance relationships. Over the past 20 years she has been involved in Child Protection and Safeguarding tutoring and mentoring.



Dr Daniel Rhind

Daniel is a Reader in Organizational Psychology at Loughborough University. He is a British Psychological Society Chartered Psychologist and is actively involved in research and consultancy projects related to safeguarding in and around sport.



Dr Misia Gervis

Misia is a sport psychologist at Brunel University and a Health and Care Professions Council registered practitioner. The focus of her research is emotional abuse within sport.

Download a PDF of this article: www.bases.org.uk/BASES-Expert-Statements

Copyright © BASES, 2022

Permission is given for reproduction in substantial part. We ask that the following note be included: "First published in The Sport and Exercise Scientist, Issue 49, Autumn 2016. Published by the British Association of Sport and Exercise Sciences - www.bases.org.uk



Dr Andy Miles FBASES

Andy is a Principal Lecturer with over 25 years of experience of involvement in Child Protection and Safeguarding training in both a sport and exercise science and a coach education context.



Prof Richard Davison FBASES

Richard is a Professor of Exercise Physiology in the School of Health and Life Sciences at the University of the West of Scotland. He is a former Chair of BASES and is an accredited sport and exercise scientist.

References:

British Association of Sport and Exercise Science (BASES). (2021a) Safeguarding and welfare policy, https://www.bases.org.uk/imgs/safeguarding_and_ welfare policy | 50.pdf. Accessed | 4 December 202 |

British Association of Sport and Exercise Science (BASES). (2021b) Code of Conduct, https://www.bases.org.uk/imgs/code_of_conduct202.pdf. Accessed 14 December 2021

Gervis, M., Rhind, D. & Luzar, A. (2016) Perceptions of emotional abuse in the coach-athlete relationship in youth sport: The influence of competitive level and outcome. International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching, 11 (6). 772 - 779.

Hartill, M., & Lang, M. (2018). Reports of child protection and safeguarding concerns in sport and leisure settings: An analysis of English local authority data between 2010 and 2015. Leisure Studies, 35, 479-499

Kavanagh, E. J., Litchfield, C., & Osborne, J. (2021a). Virtual technologies as tools of maltreatment: Safeguarding in Digital Spaces. In M. Lang & M. Hartill, The Routledge Handbook of Athlete Welfare. London: Routledge.

Kavanagh, E. J., Rhind, D. J. & Gordon-Thompson, G. (2021b). Duties of Care and Welfare Practices in Sport. In R. Arnold & D. Fletcher, Stress, Wellbeing and Performance in Sport, London: Routledge,

Kerr, G. & Stirling, A. (2019) Where is Safeguarding in Sport Psychology Research and Practice? Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 31 (4), 367-384,

Mountjoy, M. et al. (2016). The IOC consensus statement: Harassment and abuse (non-accidental violence) in sport. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 0, 1-11.

Raakman, E., Dorsch, K. & Rhind, D. (2010). The development of a typology of abusive coaching behaviours within youth sport. International Journal of Sport Science and Coaching, 5 (4), 503-515.

Rhind, D. J. A. & Owusu-Sekyere, F. (2019). Evaluating the impacts of working towards the International Safeguards for Children in Sport. Sport $\,$ Management Review, 23, 104-116.

Vertommen, T., Kampen, J., Schipper-Van Veldhoven, N., Uzieblo, K., & Van Den Eede, F. (2018). Severe interpersonal violence against children in sport: Associated mental health problems and quality of life in adulthood. Child Abuse & Neglect, 76, 459-468.