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Introduction

The evolution of Paralympic sport has led to greater integration of sports science support programmes between Paralympic and non-Paralympic sports. It is becoming increasingly common for practitioners and coaches to work with both Paralympic and non-disabled athletes. While this approach should be encouraged, it is imperative that such integration does not come at the expense of specificity of support or the acquisition of specialist knowledge. This is particularly true for impairments where normal physiological function is affected, e.g., in spinal cord injury (SCI). The following statement therefore highlights key considerations in assessing athletes with SCI.

Spinal cord injury and its impact on exercise capacity

The level (i.e., location along the spine) and completeness (complete/incomplete) of a SCI defines remaining motor, sensory, and autonomic function. In a sporting context, the extent of the remaining function determines the potential ability of an athlete and subsequent performance. Those with a motor complete tetraplegia of spinal cord injury voluntary control over their upper limbs, with severe impairments of the trunk. Those with a motor complete paraplegia have full control of their upper limbs, with the control of their trunk proprioception.

As the innervation of respiratory muscles is impaired in those with high level paraplegia and tetraplegia, a reduced respiratory function is observed in those individuals (Janssen & Hopman, 2005). Furthermore, a loss of autonomic function occurs in those with lesions above the 6th thoracic vertebra (T6), resulting in a reduced maximum heart rate (HR). Lost autonomic function further causes a reduced ability to sweat that can further compromise thermoregulation and performance. It is worth mentioning that not all spinal cord injuries result in total loss of function below the level of lesion, and the whole spectrum between ‘full function loss’ and ‘no function loss’ can be observed in those with incomplete lesions (Bhanushali, 2002).

Guidance on exercise testing in wheelchair athletes

Assuming a similar training status and completeness of injury between athletes, the highest exercise capacity is found in those with low level paraplegia, followed by high level paraplegia, and tetraplegia (Goossey-Tolfrey & Leicht, 2013). As a result, physiological tests must be tailored to each individual athlete (see Figure 1). For example, the start load/speed and increments of a graded exercise test to exhaustion must be individually determined. This helps to keep test times within a given range and ensures the collection of sufficient submaximal exercise data independent of injury level. Further, exercise modality impacts on certain parameters; arm crank ergometry for example is mechanically more efficient than wheelchair propulsion, therefore resulting in a higher power output (Bhanushali, 2002).

The athlete and his/her equipment

Paralympic sports are not only dependent on the physical capacity of the athlete, but also on the equipment s/he uses and the interaction between the two. Modifications to areas of the wheelchair such as the carmer angle and size of the main wheels, as well as the positioning of the seat can all have an impact on the mobility performance of the athlete (Mason et al., 2013). Therefore, it is imperative that configuration changes in wheelchairs and other equipment (e.g., hand-bikes) are noted down any physiological or sports performance assessments. Standard checks in equipment should also ensure that tyre pressure is controlled between sessions, as this can affect the rolling resistance and subsequently the physiological demand. Where ecological validity is deemed important e.g., for sports-specific and field-based testing, it is imperative that testing takes place using the athlete’s own equipment. However, it is important to note that some tests, originally developed for non-disabled athletes, may not provide a direct indication of aerobic capacity. For example, the multistage fitness test requires athletes to have exceptional wheelchair propulsion agility skills (Goossey-Tolfrey & Tolfrey, 2008). That said, in cases where ecological validity is less important it is acceptable to test individuals on an arm crank ergometer. This allows for the assessment of physiological potential, independent of specialised/customised equipment and could be useful when assessing physiological potential.

Conclusions

In general, practitioners can employ the same underpinning training and testing principles as for non-disabled athletes yet with subtle but significant alterations. Understanding the relationship between the impairment and performance is essential when considering the needs of the individual.

- Peak HR is reduced for athletes with lesion levels above T6. Therefore, training thresholds based upon HR reserve may be more appropriate than those based on absolute heart rate zones derived from the non-disabled population. For athletes with tetraplegia, monitoring of rating of perceived exertion (RPE), rather than HR is advocated.
- For repeat testing, it is crucial to note factors such as chair set-up (camber, sitting height, tyre pressure) as changes in these variables may influence rolling resistance and therefore test results.

Figures:

Figure 1. Assessing exercise performance in athletes with a SCI. Note: Data from athletes with complete lesions.

Recommendations are for arm cranking graded exercise tests to exhaustion.

Considering and assessing

- Arm based function
- Lower extremity and pelvic function
- Reduced peak HI
- Reduced muscle mass
- Pain abdominal muscle function
- Reduced verbal function
- Hypoesthesia
- Venous blood pooling (legs)

Sci

- Peak HR: 150-160 beats/min
- VO2peak: 57-62 L/min
- PO: 30-70 W
- HR: 100-110 beats/min
- VO2rest: 2-4 L/min

Training adaptations

- All groups benefit from training and are able to improve their exercise performance.
- The magnitude of training effects, such as cardiorespiratory variables is proportional to level and completeness (smallest effects in SCI). In this context, training adaptations vary with completeness of lesion and may not be appropriate for athletes with SCI.
- PO & HI are thresholds and may be lower in athletes with SCI compared to the non-disabled population.
- Peak PO (Wingate protocol)
- VO2peak = 40-100 W
- HI = 90-300 W
- Peak HI = 6-8 ml/min

Additional considerations

- Note modifications when interpreting test data
- Record resting blood pressures and systolic blood pressure after exercise
- Record heart rate and modify indications of autonomic dysfunction (e.g. goose bumps, headache, red nails). For further details refer to Kinross et al. (2008)
- Several respiratory parameters are proportional to lesion level and completeness
- Due to the body weight being supported by the wheelchair, peak oxygen uptake is normally reported as absolute values (i.e., L/min).

Reference:


